

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231

AP	PUCATION 349 24 BILING DATE 14/95 ALTO FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
	- 1002014	M	3495.0008-0
		•	
	18M1/0113		
r	FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT		MINER
	AND DUNNER	FARKIN	المُرْجَعُ الْمُرْجُولُ الْمُرْجُولُ الْمُرْجُولُ الْمُرْجُولُ الْمُرْجُولُ الْمُرْجُولُ الْمُرْجُولُ
	1300 I STREET NW		•
	WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	3010	1813	***
		. •	
		DATE MAILED:	01/13/97
	· ·	DATE MAILED.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PTO-90C (REV. 2/95)



Advisory Action

Application No. 08/384,248

Applicant(s)

Alizon et al.

Examiner

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1813



TH	IF ber	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) [expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	p) [X	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date d	xtension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of mining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appe perio	Illant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any d for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap bu	plican t is N (It's response to the final rejection, filed on $\frac{12/18/96}{}$ has been considered with the following effect, DT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The p	proposed amendment(s):
	X v	vill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	□ v	vill not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	Ш	
	NO	OTE:
	□ A	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	_	
	New sepa	
X		rly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	for a	would be allowable if submitted in a arrate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: after final amendment does not provide objective evidence addressing the caveats, particulally as it applies to the ction under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, generated in paper nos. 16 and 18.
	for a <u>the</u> a <u>reject</u> The	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: after final amendment does not provide objective evidence addressing the caveats, particulally as it applies to the
	for a the a rejection. The the left	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: after final amendment does not provide objective evidence addressing the caveats, particulally as it applies to the ction under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, generated in paper nos. 16 and 18. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
	for a the a	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: after final amendment does not provide objective evidence addressing the caveats, particulally as it applies to the ction under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, generated in paper nos. 16 and 18. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.
□ ⊠	for a the a reject The the I	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: after final amendment does not provide objective evidence addressing the caveats, particulally as it applies to the cation under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, generated in paper nos. 16 and 18. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
□ ⊠	for a the creject The the I For p Clair	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: after final amendment does not provide objective evidence addressing the caveats, particularly as it applies to the ction under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, generated in paper nos. 16 and 18. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ms allowed: none
	for a the a reject The the It For a Clair Clair	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: after final amendment does not provide objective evidence addressing the caveats, particulally as it applies to the ction under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, generated in paper nos. 16 and 18. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ms allowed: none ms objected to: none
	for a the a reject the B Clair Clair The	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: after final amendment does not provide objective evidence addressing the caveats, particulally as it applies to the extion under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, generated in paper nos. 16 and 18. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ms allowed: none ms objected to: none ms rejected: 23, 32, and 33.
	for a the a reject the B Clair Clair The	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: affer final amendment does not provide objective evidence addressing the caveats, particulally as it applies to the extion under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, generated in paper nos. 16 and 18. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ms allowed: none ms objected to: none ms objected: 23, 32, and 33. proposed drawing correction filed on has not been approved by the Examiner. The the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)